	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/087,786	TAKAOKA ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sikyin Ip	1742
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communical GHTS. This application is subject	application. If not included tion will be mailed in due course. THIS
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-15</u> .	,	
3. \square The drawings filed on $___$ are accepted by the Examine	г.	
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in time. 7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposant attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT. 	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in the of this communication to file a replication. itted. Note the attached EXAMIN as reason(s) why the oath or declet be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review (Property of the oath or in the oath of the oath or in the oath of the oath or in the oath of the oath oath of the o	nis national stage application from the ply complying with the requirements ER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF aration is deficient. FO-948) attached e Office action of awings in the front (not the back) of 21(d). L must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summa Paper No./Mail I	ary (PTO-413),
3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 2/23/05; 6/23/04-4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8), 7 🖾 Examiner's Ame	ndment/Comment
of Biological Material	9. ☑ Other Advisory A	
	'IN IP EXAMINER	

1

Application/Control Number: 10/087,786 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

Examiner's Amendment

An Examiner's Amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the Issue Fee.

In the specification, page 1, first paragraph, line 2, after "2000," insert –now U.S. Patent No.: 6,660,226,--.

The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance: The instant transitional expression "consisting of" excludes additional essential elements from references of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the Issue Fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the Issue Fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) based upon an application filed in Japan on January 28, 1998. A claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) cannot be based on said application, since the United States application was filed more than twelve months thereafter. Moreover, applicants set forth in oath/declaration that priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 is not being claimed (See attached Advisory Action mailed 01/14/02005).

Art Unit: 1742

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (571) 272-1241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (571)-272-1244.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1742

S. Ip April 18, 2005

F	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/087,786	TAKAOKA ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sikyin Ip	1742				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -				
THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · ——					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
 5.☒ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .		,				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr		•				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other: <u>oath</u>						
		SIKVIM ID				

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed limitations never appeared in claims. Thus, they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons as set forth in Final rejection.

Applicants' statement about priority document in instant remarks is noted. First, as noted in the oath filed by applicants that priority is not claimed. Second, priority document filing date is more than a year before parent application filing date. See the attached oath of record.

F	ŝ
	1
ſ	1
	Į
*	ļ
0	
Ū	
Ē	
C	ļ
Ų,	į
Ē	j
) =	7
F	1
F	Ĺ
	Į

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION				OFGS FILE NO. P/1071-1120				
As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that I verily believe that I am the original. first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors are named) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: LEAD FREE SOLDER AND SOLDERED ARTICLE								
the specification of which is attached	•				•			
XX was filed on August 7	•							
	32,819 and		•					
			•	_	• • •			
I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability in accordance with Title 37. Code of Federal Regulations, \$1.56. I hereby claim priority benefits under Title 35. United States Code \$119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or United States provisional application(s) listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:								
Prior Foreign or Provisional Applica					•			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUM	BER	DATE OF (day, mon		PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 119			
Japan	10-16!42		January 28, 1	998	YESNO _X_			
					YES NO			
					YES NO			
I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.								
UNITED STATES APPLICATION NUMBER		OF FILING		,	STATUS pasented, pending, abandoned)			
			-		•			
								
					:			
I hereby appoint customer no. 2352 OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP, and the members of the firm, Sarruel H. Weiner - Reg. No. 18,510; Jerome M. Berliner - Reg. No. 18,653; Rolert C. Faber - Reg. No. 24,732; Edward A. Meilman - Reg. No. 24,735; Stanley H. Lieberstein - Reg. No. 22,400; Steven I. Weisburd - Reg. No. 27,409; Max Moskowitz - Reg. No. 30,576; Stephen A. Soffen - Reg. No. 31,063; James A. Finder - Reg. No. 30,173; William O. Gray, III - Reg. No. 30,944; Louis C. Dujmich - Reg. No. 30,625 and Douglas A. Miro - Reg. No. 31,643, as attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation to prosecute this application, to transact all business in the Patent & Trademark Office connected therewith and to receive all correspondence.								
SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO: OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO: 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (212) 382-0700 NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10036-8403 CUSTOMER NO. 2332								
I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.								
FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENT Hidekiyo TAKAOKA	1 .	tor's signati Hideki'yo	_{IRE} TAKAOKA	İ	August 31, 2000			
RESIDENCE (City and either State or Fo		11001470	[/1[4]0[4]	COUNTRY				
Kusatsu-shi, Shiga-ken, Japan Japan								
POST OFFICE ADDRESS c/o (A170) Intellectual Property Department, Murata Manufacturing Co., Ltd., 26-10, Tenjin 2-chome,								
Nagaokakyo-shi, Kyoto-fu 617-8555, Japan								
FULL NAME OF SECOND JOINT INVENTOR (IF ANY) KIYOTAKA MAEGAWA INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE KIYOTAKA MAEGAWA DATE August, 31, 2000								
RESIDENCE (City and either State or For Hikone-shi, Shiga-ken, Ja	reign Country)	_		COUNTRY Japan	OF CITIZENSHIP			
POST OFFICE ADDRESS c/o (A170) Intellectual Property Department, Murata Manufacturing Co., Ltd., 26-10, Tenjin 2-chome,								
Nagaokakyo-shi, Kyoto-fu 617-8555, Japan								